

clientbulletin



IP/IT Law Update

Inside this Issue:

Have you done enough to protect your trade secrets? Ralph H. Kroman

Online Protection Mark A. Goldbloom

Have you done enough to protect your trade secrets? *Ralph H. Kroman*

Recent cases in the news have demonstrated that a company may go to great lengths to acquire the confidential information of a competitor. An unscrupulous company will use sophisticated technology to acquire confidential information, and a business should not underestimate its competitors.

Although appropriate means will reduce the likelihood of hacking and other electronic intrusions, competitors often acquire confidential information such as customer lists through employees. If an employee leaves a business and discloses confidential information to a competitor, it can be very difficult to prove in court that confidential information was disclosed. When dealing with confidential information, the age-old adage applies: "an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure".

Employees who have access to confidential information should sign agreements which contain appropriate confidentiality clauses. The courts will enforce non-solicitation and noncompetition clauses under appropriate circumstances. The agreement should be drafted to contain provisions which are appropriate so that a court will not frown upon them. Many companies have signed confidentiality agreements in their files but often they overlook the importance of employee entrance and exit interviews. During an entrance interview, each new employee should be reminded of his or her obligations regarding the company's confidential information. The information which the company considers confidential must be clearly identified to the employee. A company policy regarding confidential information should be reviewed with the employee and a receipt obtained from the employee.

A wise employer also tells the employee during the initial interview that the employee must not disclose confidential information of former employers. The agreement which is signed by the employee should contain a representation and warranty to this effect. Recent legal cases have shown that, if an employer turns a blind eye to the disclosure of confidential information of a third party to it, the directors and officers of the employer may be held personally liable.

If an employee signs a confidentiality agreement after employment has commenced, it must be structured properly or else the agreement will be unenforceable. The courts like to see that the employee gave consideration for the agreement and the lack of consideration may render the agreement unenforceable.

It is imperative that employees who depart employment are given proper exit interviews where their legal obligations regarding confidential information are explained. Employees will likely be more reluctant to disclose confidential information to a new employer if they know that the former employer is serious about protecting its interests. It should be confirmed by the employee that no copies of confidential information remains in the hands of the employee, and the employee's passwords should be de-activated (in the Air Canada/ WestJet case, the passwords of a former employee were used by a competitor).

If the former employee will be employed with a competitor under suspicious circumstances, an appropriate letter should be sent to the new employer. This letter does not need to be adversarial but is intended to put the new employer "on notice" that the new employer must not use confidential information disclosed to it.

Relationships with independent contractors and consultants can be soft spots for companies with confidential information. The general rule is that, unless there is an agreement to the contrary, independent contractors own all of the deliverables. If a dispute arises with an independent contractor, companies often find themselves in precarious positions regarding deliverables unless an agreement states that the company owns the deliverables. This issue has resulted in a great deal of litigation which could be avoided by appropriate language in an independent contractor agreement.

The more effort that a company makes to treat information as confidential, the greater the protection that courts will give to it. Additional steps which may be taken include:

- Preparation of a list which inventories all confidential information which is coupled with accessibility policies
- Numbering hard copies of documents containing confidential information
- Maintenance of a logbook regarding copies of confidential information which are disclosed to employees

One of the best ways to protect confidential information is with a "confidential stamp" which is placed on each electronic or hard copy of a document. It is preferable that this stamp appears on each page. Many companies simply stamp "confidential" but the stamp is more effective if it includes the name of the owner of the confidential information, states that copying is not permitted without the express or consent of the owner, and confirms that the document and all copies of the document are the sole property of the owner.

It is common practice today for businesses to exchange confidential information in order to further the negotiation of a business deal. It is standard practice that "standard form" non-disclosure or confidentiality agreements are signed. However, the fine print should be reviewed. Some agreements require that confidential information which is disclosed orally, must be confirmed in writing within a certain number of days. If it is not feasible to comply with this obligation, the agreement should be amended.

In any event, when a company discloses confidential information, it should be marked with or contain a "confidential stamp", and the disclosing party should maintain a file which contains proof of the delivery of the confidential information including an exact copy of all information disclosed, the date of the delivery and the identity of the recipient. The cover letter should list the enclosures and highlight the confidential nature of the information.

On the whole, it is important for a company to recognize that protecting confidential information is not simply a matter of adopting a "cookie cutter" approach. A protection plan should be customized to reflect the type of information and the commercial realities of the business. Unfortunately, many companies do not focus upon a plan until it is too late.



Ralph Kroman is a partner at WeirFoulds. He practises business law and has provided his expertise to many significant mergers, financings, acquisitions, reorganizations and joint ventures. Ralph is involved in all aspects of law affecting the organization and operations of corporations and other business entities.

He is adept at helping clients deal with intellectual property and technology matters such as the acquisition, licensing and protection of copyright and trademarks. He provides advice to Canadian and foreign public companies and also to smaller entrepreneurial firms. His clients are in many industries including automotive, transportation, manufacturing, knowledge-based, finance and professional service industries. He creates solutions for complex corporate transactions but also helps entrepreneurs to start up and grow new businesses. Ralph's expertise includes the public sector and he acts for government and regulatory agencies who are in unique legal environments. Ralph can be reached at 416-947-5026 and by e-mail at rkroman@weirfoulds.com.

Online Protection

Mark A. Goldbloom

Each day more and more people are discovering that rather than having to drive to a busy mall and wait in line, they can make the same purchase from the comfort of their homes. However, the anonymity of shopping over the internet raises a variety of concerns for consumers. In an effort to give consumers greater protection while shopping online, the Ontario Government has passed the *Consumer Protection Act, 2002* (the "Act").

With certain specific exceptions, the Act applies to all consumer transactions, if the consumer, or the person engaging in the transaction with the consumer, is located in Ontario when the transaction takes place. Some of the highlights of the Act that relate specifically to Agreements between consumers and suppliers over the internet include the following:

- it is prescribed that for the internet agreement provisions of the Act to apply, the total payment obligation must be \$50.00 or more;
- the regulations to the Act sets out a list of prescribed information about the supplier that is required to be provided (including, the name, telephone number and physical address of the supplier). As well, the regulations to the Act prescribe that certain details of the online transaction must be disclosed to the consumer before the contract is made, including, a description of the goods and/or services (including pertinent technical requirements), price information (including charges for taxes and shipping), the delivery date and arrangements for delivery, the supplier's refund policy (if applicable), and any other conditions that may apply;
- the supplier must also disclose the information such that it is clear, comprehensive and prominent. Furthermore, the information that is prescribed to be disclosed must be accessible in a manner that ensures that the consumer has accessed the information and the consumer was able to retain and print the information;
- the Act requires the supplier to provide the consumer with an express opportunity to accept or decline internet agreements and to correct errors immediately before entering into the agreement;
- the consumer is entitled to receive a written copy of the agreement within 15 days of the date the consumer enters into the agreement. Untimely delivery by the supplier will permit the consumer to cancel an internet agreement within 30 days after the date the agreement is entered into. The supplier is considered to have delivered a copy of the internet agreement to the consumer if it is delivered by fax, e-mail, mail or personal delivery to the address or the facsimile number that the consumer has given to the supplier for providing information relating to the agreement; and
- the consumer will be able to cancel the contract up to 7 days after receiving a copy of it if certain information that is required to be disclosed by the Act and its regulations was not provided, or if the consumer did not have an opportunity to expressly accept or decline the agreement.

CLIENTBULLETIN

Businesses that sell goods or services to consumers through the internet should review and seek guidance regarding their online agreements and the design of their website to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Act.



Mark Goldbloom is an associate at WeirFoulds. He practises business law and provides his expertise to both the business and non-profit sectors. Mark works with clients that range from public companies to entrepreneurs. Mark's expertise includes law related to share capital companies, non-share corporations,

contracts, intellectual property (including trade-marks, copyright, licensing, confidentiality and non-competition, privacy and technology transfers), risk management, charities, franchising and professional regulation. Mark can be reached at 416-947-5076 and by e-mail at mgoldbloom@weirfoulds.com.

WeirFoulds LLP publishes several newsletters on topical legal issues. If you are interested in receiving any of these publications, please send an e-mail to publications@weirfoulds.com or visit www.weirfoulds.com where all publications are posted regularly.

If you prefer to receive publications by e-mail, please let us know by sending us a message at: publications@weirfoulds.com.

WeirFoulds LLP IP/IT Law Group Members:

Ralph H. Kroman, Practice Group Chair T: 416-947-5026 E: rkroman@weirfoulds.com

Kerry A. Boniface T: 416-947-5094 E: kboniface@weirfoulds.com

Krista R. Chaytor T: 416-947-5074 E: kchaytor@weirfoulds.com

Albert G. Formosa T: 416-947-5012 E: aformosa@weirfoulds.com

Mark A. Goldbloom T: 416-947-5076 E: mgoldbloom@weirfoulds.com

W.A. Derry Millar T: 416-947-5021 E: dmillar@weirfoulds.com

Maralynne A. Monteith T: 416-947-5089 E: mmonteith@weirfoulds.com

Elisabeth Patrick T: 416-947-5027 E: epatrick@weirfoulds.com

N. William C. Ross T: 416-947-5033 E: wross@weirfoulds.com

Michael R. Swartz T: 416-947-5024 E: mswartz@weirfoulds.com

Andrew W.H. Tam T: 416-947-5071 E: atam@weirfoulds.com

Debbie S. Tarshis T: 416-947-5037 E: dtarshis@weirfoulds.com

John B.A. Wilkinson T: 416-947-5010 E: jwilkinson@weirfoulds.com